

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

Serial No. 4738

TO APPROPRIATE THE PUBLIC WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Date of first receipt and filing in State Engineer's office NOV 24 1917
Returned to applicant for correction _____
Corrected application filed _____

The undersigned JOHN B. GALLAGHER
Name of applicant.
of Yerington, County of Lyon,
State of Nevada, hereby make^s application for
permission to appropriate the public waters of the State of Nevada,
as hereinafter stated. (If applicant is a corporation give date and
place of incorporation.) _____

1. The source of the proposed appropriation is Walker River,
Name of stream, lake, or other source.
2. The amount of water applied for is 0.64 second-feet.
One second-foot equals 40 miners' inches.
3. The water to be used for Irrigation and domestic purposes,
Irrigation, power, mining, manufacturing, domestic, or other use.
4. The water is to be diverted from its source at the following
point: This is an application for permit to change the place of use
Describe as being within a 40-acre subdivision of public survey, or by course and distance to a section corner. If on unsurveyed land it should be so stated.
of water heretofore appropriated. See Supplemental sheet.

IF THE WATER IS TO BE USED FOR IRRIGATION, SUPPLY THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

- (a) Number of acres to be irrigated is _____
- (b) Description of land to be irrigated _____
Describe by legal subdivision, or if on unsurveyed land it

should be so stated and a description provided in accordance with special instruction from the State Engineer when application is returned for correction.

- (c) Irrigation will begin about _____ and end about _____
Month.
_____, of each year.
Month.

IF WATER IS TO BE USED FOR POWER, MINING, TRANSPORTATION, OR OTHER USE, SUPPLY THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

- (d) Power to be developed is _____ horsepower.
- (e) Works to be located _____
Give 40-acre subdivision on which works will be located, or locate by course and distance to a section corner.
- (f) Point of return of water to stream _____
Describe in same manner as point of diversion.
- (g) Remarks _____

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WORKS

State manner in which water is to be diverted, whether by dam or other works, whether through pipes, ditches, flumes, or other conduits. If water

is to be stored in reservoirs it should be so stated and the location of the reservoir should be given with reference to the legal subdivisions.

5. Estimated cost of works _____

6. Estimated time required to construct works _____

7. Remarks _____

For use of applicant.

_____, Applicant.

By _____

Compared _____

This sheet inspected _____

_____, Engineer.

APPROVAL OF STATE ENGINEER

This is to certify that I have examined the foregoing application, and do hereby grant the same, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

The amount of water to be appropriated shall be limited to the amount which can be applied to beneficial use, and not to exceed _____ cubic feet per second.

Actual construction work shall begin on or before _____

Proof of commencement of work shall be filed before _____

Work must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence and be completed on or before _____

Proof of completion of work shall be filed before _____

Application of water to beneficial use shall be made on or before _____

Proof of the application of water to beneficial use must be filed with State Engineer on or before _____

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this _____ day
of _____

State Engineer.

APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO CHANGE THE PLACE OF
USE OF THE WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
HERETOFORE APPROPRIATED

BY

JOHN B. GALLAGHER.

DATE OF FIRST RECEIPT AND FILING IN STATE ENGINEER'S OFFICE NOV 24 1917
RETURNED TO APPLICANT FOR CORRECTION
CORRECTED APPLICATION FILED

The undersigned John B. Gallagher, of Yerington, County of Lyon State of Nevada, hereby makes application to change the place of use of waters heretofore appropriated and beneficially used as hereinafter shown.

1. The source of the water supply of the proposed change is Walker River.
2. The amount of water applied for to be changed is two shares in the Fox Ditch Company, or approximately 0.64 cubic feet of water per second.
3. The water is to be used for Irrigation and domestic purposes.
4. The water is to be diverted from its source at the following point: At the head of the FOX DITCH in the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 25, T. 12 N. R. 25 E., M. D. B. & M. There is no change in the point of diversion.
5. The water, herewith applied to be changed, has been beneficially and continuously used upon the following described lands, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 26, T. 13 N. R. 25 E., M. D. B. & M.
6. The number of acres heretofore irrigated is 160.
7. The number of acres proposed to be irrigated is 160.
8. The description of the land proposed to be irrigated is SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of section 22, T. 12 N. R. 25 E. M. D. B. & M.
9. The estimated cost of the proposed works is approximately \$100.00 for new takeout. All other work is complete as the Old Fox ditch has been in use for years.
10. The estimated time required to construct the works is one year.
11. Irrigation will begin about March 1st. of each year and end about November 1st. of each year.
12. The water has been used heretofore during the months of March to November each year.
13. The water herewith applied for to be changed has been awarded in the Thurtell Findings of the rights to the waters of the Walker River, the basis of which is a proof filed in the office of state engineer and to which reference is hereby made. In as much as proof is already on file it is deemed unnecessary to make additional proof to accompany this application. The amount of water applied to be changed has also been awarded for the area of land so that the present statutory allowance does not govern.

J. B. Gallagher, Applicant.

By.

Compared P. P. Jones

This sheet inspected _____

_____, Engineer.

This is to certify that I have examined the foregoing application, and do hereby DENY the same on the ground that the land from which the water right is proposed to be changed is not included in the lands allowed a water right under U. S. District Court Decree No. 731, in the case of Pacific Livestock Company, vs. T. B. Rickey, et al.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this 1st day of April, 1920.

J. H. Sengstack
State Engineer

